

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTOR	RNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/655,987	09/06/2000		Calvin B. Ward		54391	9378
7590 10/07/2004		10/07/2004			EXAMINER	
Law Offices of 18 Crow Canyon						
	A 94583	•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
09/655,987	WARD, CALVIN B.			
Examiner	Art Unit	T		
Tamra L. Dicus	1774			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address-

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>05 August 2004</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three **TIME PERIODS**: (1) **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) **TWO MONTHS** from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. **EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.**

١.		he	le brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper ading or in the proper order.
2.		Th ap	e brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the pealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3.		At sta	least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a stement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4.		Th an	e brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page d line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5.		Th	e brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6.		A s	single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
	(a)		the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
	(b)		the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7.		The	e brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8.		The	e brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9.		Oth	ner (including any explanation in support of the above items):
		00	Examiner telephoned the attorney on Oct. 04, 2004 to discuss this issue regarding the confusion related to the wrong all number, fliling date, and information in the related appeals and interferences (paragraph II). The Applicant concured the information was erroneously submitted for 10/278,190 instead of for 09/655,987.

RENA DYE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

A.S. 1774